

**Design-Build Cold Storage
Addition to Building 1017
Buckley AFB, Colorado**

Completion Date: July 2012

Client: United States Army Corps of Engineers—Omaha District

**Project Reference: Neal Parker
(Neal.M.Parker@usace.army.mil)**

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)		1. CONTRACT NUMBER W9128F11D0028 0002	
		2. CEC NUMBER 118862197	
MODIFIED EVALUATION			
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)		4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage <u>97</u> %)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL		<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) AYUDA MANAGEMENT CORPORATION 11800 RIDGE PARKWAY SUITE 550 BROOMFIELD CO 80021 USA NAICS Code: 236220		6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK Cold Storage Addition, Building 1017, Buckley AFB, Colorado SUBJECT: Buckley AFB, CO; Design Build Cold Storage Addition to Bldg 1017 DESCRIPTION: Design and construct a 5,000 SF addition to building 1017 including asphalt around building and relocating the SW line. AS-AWARDED (\$DESIGN/\$CONSTRUCT): \$87,207/\$602,748 (~\$2,300 additional design for MOD) CONTRACTOR: Ayuda DURATION AT AWARD: 240 Days PROJECT MANAGER: Andrew Winslow, Omaha District TIME GROWTH: 31 Days (13%)			
(continued...)			
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING 69% Prime Contractor 13% Architect/Engineer 2% Asphalt Paving 1% Landscaping/Irrigation			
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$689,955	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$17,663	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED
			d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$687,454
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 09/27/2011	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 06/21/2012	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 07/22/2012
			d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 08/30/2012
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR			
11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)			
<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL
			<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
12. EVALUATED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) USACE, OMAHA, ROCKY MOUNTAIN AREA, DENVER RESIDENT OFFICE, BUCKLEY AFB, CO		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 720-847-2637	
c. NAME AND TITLE NEAL PARKER RESIDENT ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 11/15/2012
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) USACE/NWO/		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 719-570-7797 x3700	
c. NAME AND TITLE BRIAN T. DZIEKONSKI AREA ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 11/16/2012
14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)			

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U		N/A	O	A	S	M	U	
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X				a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS		X				
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN				X			b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL			X			
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN				X			c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)				X		
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION				X			d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP			X			
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS				X			e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION				X		
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS				X			f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS				X		
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS				X			g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			X			
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING				X			h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES				X		
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS	X						i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN	X					
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS				X			18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS						
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER			X				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X			
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS						
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE				X			a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN				X		
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE				X			b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN				X		
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS			X				c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X			
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION				X									
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS			X										
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES			X										
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE	X												

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

EVALUATOR REMARKS: 16a. The contractor was cooperative with the Government regarding changes and was also responsive to making corrections to noted deficiencies.

16d. The project site was extremely neat at all times.

16g. The contractor's always conducted themselves in a professional manner when dealing with the COE and the Air Force Customer.

18b. Payrolls were submitted timely and the few deficiencies that were found were corrected quickly.

11/15/2012:

In review of the contractor's response to the overall rating of "satisfactory", the government concurs that a number of valid points were identified that should have been factored into the sub-element ratings and consequently overall rating. Specifically, the points in regard to (1.) unforeseen stormwater line located under the building pad, (2.) fire suppression design density and line hangers review, and (3.) lack of an interim CCASS rating. Although the government does not concur with all of the recommended ratings by Ayuda, it is recognized that Ayuda worked very proactively with the government to address the stormwater line and fire suppression issue to ensure minimal impacts to cost and schedule. Considering these factors, the government has revised the overall rating to "Above Average" and the following elements to the stated:

15(a) - Above Average

15(k) - Above Average

(continued...)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK (...continued)

WARRANTY (START/11MO): 22-July-2012/1717-June-2013

DD1354: Not Started

ITEMS OF NOTE:

- (1.) Modification 2 executed to replace SW line segment and add 31 days to contract.
- (2.) Beginning formwork for foundation slab this week.

Buckley AFB, CO

20. REMARKS (...continued)

- 16(a) - Outstanding
- 16(b) - Above Average
- 17(c) - Above Average
- 17(e) - Above Average
- 17(f) - Above Average
- 19(c) - Above Average

CONTRACTOR REMARKS: This record has been modified, please see the original record to view the contractor remarks.

REVIEWER REMARKS: This project and CCASS review process demonstrate that a strong partnership between the contractor and USACE are in everyone's best interest. Thank you to all involved for another successful project.