

Fitzsimons ARC Stormwater Drainage

Fitzsimons AMC, Colorado

Completion Date: September 2012

Client: United States Army Corps of Engineers—Louisville District

Project Reference: Neal Parker

(Neal.M.Parker@usace.army.mil)

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)		1. CONTRACT NUMBER W912QR11C0054		
		2. CEC NUMBER 118862197		
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.				
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA				
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one) <input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage <u>98</u> %) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL			4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT <input type="checkbox"/>	
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) AYUDA MANAGEMENT CORPORATION 1800 RIDGE PARKWAY BROOMFIELD CO 80021 USA NAICS Code: 236220			6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
			b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
			<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK Fitzsimons ARC Stormwater Drainage Correct stormwater drainage at Fitzsimons ARC Fitzsimons AMC, CO				
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING 69% Prime Contractor				
9. FISCAL DATA ▶	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$226,792	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$22,705	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$244,436
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES ▶	a. DATE OF AWARD 09/27/2011	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 05/28/2012	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 09/29/2012	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 09/29/2012
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR				
11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)				
<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
12. EVALUATED BY				
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) USACE, OMAHA, ROCKY MOUNTAIN AREA, FITZSIMONS ARC, CO			b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 720-847-2635	
c. NAME AND TITLE NEAL PARKER RESIDENT ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//		e. DATE 11/15/2012
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY				
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) USACE/NWO/			b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 719-570-7797 x3700	
c. NAME AND TITLE BRIAN T. DZIEKONSKI AREA ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//		e. DATE 11/16/2012
14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)				

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X			a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS			X				
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN				X		b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL				X			
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN				X		c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)				X			
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION				X		d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP			X				
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS				X		e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION				X			
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS				X		f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS				X			
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS				X		g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			X				
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING				X		h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES				X			
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS	X					i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN	X						
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS				X		18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS							
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER				X		a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X			
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED						
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE				X		c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS							
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE				X		19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS			X			a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION				X		b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS				X		c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES							
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES				X						X			
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE	X									X			

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

EVALUATOR REMARKS: General Comments: Although this was a small project, a number of unforeseen difficulties came up during the construction process that made this a much more difficult project. Examples included (1.) having to address boundary and driveway issues, (2.) power pole guy-wire concerns, (3.) discovering abandoned lift-station on-site during earthwork, and (4.) discovery of asbestos contaminated material at the site. All of these challenges were ultimately rectified through close coordination and proactiveness between the contractor and the government to minimize cost and schedule impacts and ensure a sound workable product for the customer. In addition, the contractor was patient and cooperative while USACE worked through several internal challenges between different funding systems between Omaha and Louisville Districts during the change order process.

15(a) - The quality of the end product to include the retaining wall and the paving was above average. In discussions between the government and the customer (Joey Garcia), the customer was very happy with the end product.

16 (a & g) The contractor team was cooperative with regards to the unforeseen site conditions and finishing the project on schedule. They were also very cooperative working with the city of Aurora and their questioning the property boundaries and access to their property. The contractor was very professional in all interaction with the Government, Occupants, and the city of Aurora representatives.

16(d) - The contractor did a good job of cleaning the site up and maintaining a clean work environment. This was especially critical considering this was a highly visible space in a busy location at the reserve center and asbestos was discovered at the job

(continued...)

20. REMARKS (...continued)

site.

17(c) - The above average rating was based upon the General Comments above and the fact that the contractor worked closely with the government to reach resolution and minimize impacts to cost and schedule.

CONTRACTOR REMARKS: We greatly appreciate the opportunity to perform this important project. We look forward to working for you on future projects.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.

CONTRACTOR NAME: SONYA YUNGBERG

TITLE: EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

PHONE: 303-618-5679

DATE: 11/16/2012

REVIEWER REMARKS: We greatly appreciate Ayuda's cooperativeness and assistance in completing this small but ultimately complicated project.