



# **Design-Build Vehicle Inspection Facility**

## **Buckley Air Force Base, Colorado**

**Completion Date: August 2008**

**Client: United States Army Corps of Engineers—Omaha District**

**Project Reference: Corey Magstadt**

**(Corey.R.Magstadt@usace.army.mil)**

<b>PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)</b>		1. CONTRACT NUMBER W9128F07C0027	
		2. CEC NUMBER 118862197	
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
<b>PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA</b>			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)		4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage <u>97</u> %)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) AYUDA MANAGEMENT CORPORATION 12050 NOTH PECOX STREET, SUITE 300 WESTMINISTER CO 802342017 USA NAICS Code: 236220		6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK Vehicle Inspection Facility Mississippi Gate Buckley AFB, CO			
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING 80% subcontracted for mechanical, concrete, paving, earthwork, metal building, and cameras.			
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$824,411	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$0	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED
			d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$807,499
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 08/24/2007	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 04/16/2008	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 08/12/2008
			d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 08/11/2008
<b>PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR</b>			
11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)			
<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
12. EVALUATED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS - DENVER RESIDENT OFFICE		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 720-847-2631	
c. NAME AND TITLE JENNIFER S. MCQUEEN, PE RESIDENT ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE	e. DATE 11/06/2008
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) USACE-OMAHA DISTRICT-ROCKY MTN AREA		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 402-996-3700	
c. NAME AND TITLE KEVIN A. LINDSEY AREA ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE	e. DATE 11/24/2008
14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)			

**PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS**

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X			a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS	X						
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN			X			b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL		X					
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN		X				c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)		X					
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION		X				d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP		X					
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS		X				e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION		X					
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS			X			f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS		X					
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS			X			g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT	X						
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING			X			h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES		X					
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS	X					i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN			X				
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X			<b>18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS</b>							
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER		X				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X				
<b>17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE</b>							b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED			X			
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE			X			c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS			X				
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE			X			<b>19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS</b>							
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS			X			a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION		X				b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN			X				
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS		X				c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X				
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES			X										
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE		X											

**20. REMARKS** (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

EVALUATOR REMARKS: 15 k: The contractor was quick to identify problems, notify the Government and make the necessary corrections in a quick manner.  
 16 a: The contractor was extremely cooperative with regards to customer requests and very responsive with regards to safety, environmental concerns, and quality issues.  
 16 g: All of Ayuda's staff conducted themselves in a professional manner and were enjoyable to work with.

CONTRACTOR REMARKS: This was a great project, and we look forward to working with the USACE again soon! Thank you for your business.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.  
 CONTRACTOR NAME: MARIA VOGT  
 TITLE: PRESIDENT  
 PHONE: 303-487-6986  
 DATE: 11/11/2008

REVIEWER REMARKS: AYUDA MANAGEMENT CORPORATION is commended for their performance on this contract. Thank you!